

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		TORNEY DOCKET NO.
popo y 1837 umido 1844 Amag bideban 190		গ্ৰা ইয়াক্ষ্	EXAMINER	
	unen 190 Egg Aviivit 22. Nii 19922	8 F. 127	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	, lunda/87

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. D8/47999 Brakel et al.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit					
	Ardin Marschel 1809					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $6/7/$						
nesponsive to continuincation(s) filed on						
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	tor formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed					
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
is taken the mailing date of this communication. It	set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever allure to respond within the period for response will cause the extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of					
Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consid						
□ Claim(s) ☑ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers	tas Basiana DTO 049					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ A!! ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.	int Numbers					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
Interview Summary, PTO-413 (2copies)						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pater t Drawing Review, PTO-948						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SFF OFFICE ACTIO	N ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Serial No. 08/479,999 - 2 - Art Unit: 1809

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1809.

Applicants' have not set forth any new arguments against the rejections given in the final office action, mailed 3/3/93, in the parent application serial number 07/446,235; that were beyond those already considered and deemed non-persuasive in the Advisory Action, mailed 10/8/93, in said parent application. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections are reiterated from that office action. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. The status of non-provisional application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

Serial No. 08/479,999 - 3 - Art Unit: 1809

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 12-14, 19, and 42-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al.(1985).

Miller et al. discloses methyl phosphonate linked antisense oligos and their use in inhibition as antisense oligomers which reads on the instant claims. It is noted that the methyl phosphonates of the references are phosphodiesters in that they link between nucleotide residues via a set of esters (diesters) and contain phosphate and are thus included in the generic phosphodiester language of the instant claims regarding internal internucleoside linkages.

Claims 1-4, 12-14, and 42-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 102(b) as being anticipated by Stein et al.(1988).

Similar to the above rejection based on Miller et al., Stein et al. discloses phosphorothioate linkage modified oligomers for antisense usage but also includes oligomers wherein some of the linkages are of the natural type of phosphodiester.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies

as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walder et al.(1988) in view of Miller et al.(4,469,863) and Inoue et al.(1988).

This rejection is reiterated as given in the office action, mailed 6/5/92, as being sufficiently and accurately set forth therein.

Applicants are hereby informed that prior art made of record as having been considered in the parent application, serial number 07/446,235 is also hereby made of record as having been considered in the instant application.

No claim is allowed.

This is a file-wrapper-continuation of applicant's earlier application S.N. 07/446,235. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though

Serial No. 08/479,999 - 5 - Art Unit: 1809 it is a first action in this case. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

This application is subject to the provisions of Public Law 103-465, effective June 8, 1995. Accordingly, since this application has been pending for at least two years as of June 8, 1995, taking into account any reference to an earlier filed application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), applicant, under 37 CFR 1.129(a), is entitled to have a first submission entered and considered on the merits if, prior to abandonment, the submission and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) are filed prior to the filing of an appeal brief under 37 CFR 1.192. Upon the timely filing of a first submission and the appropriate fee for a small entity under 37 CFR 1.17(r), the finality of the previous Office action will be withdrawn. In view of 35 U.S.C. 132, no amendment considered as a result of payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) may introduce new matter into the disclosure of the application.

If applicant has filed multiple proposed amendments which, when entered, would conflict with one another, specific

Serial No. 08/479,999 - 6 - Art Unit: 1809

instructions for entry or non-entry of each such amendment should be provided upon payment of any fee under 37 CFR 1.17(r).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1800 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4227 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

August 4, 1997

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800